To: Elston, Sue[elston.sue@epa.gov]; Swenson, Peter[swenson.peter@epa.gov]; Haveman,

Melanie[haveman.melanie@epa.gov]

From: Melgin, Wendy

Sent: Thur 10/17/2013 3:48:05 PM

Subject: RE: Rio Tinto Mine, Road, Mill Project

I think Melanie was reviewing this, right? Can't wait to see what else we have agreed to while under shutdown!

From: Elston, Sue

Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2013 10:41 AM

To: Swenson, Peter; Haveman, Melanie; Melgin, Wendy

Subject: RE: Rio Tinto Mine, Road, Mill Project

I do not know anything about this.

U.S Environmental Protection Agency (WW-16j) 77 W Jackson Blvd Chicago, IL 60604 312-886-6115

----Original Message-----From: Swenson, Peter

Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2013 10:24 AM To: Haveman, Melanie; Melgin, Wendy; Elston, Sue Subject: FW: Rio Tinto Mine, Road, Mill Project

Please see below...What do we know about this?

Peter

From: Pallesen, Reginald

Sent: Thursday, October 17, 2013 2:50 PM

To: Swenson, Peter

Subject: FW: Rio Tinto Mine, Road, Mill Project

fyi

From: Addison, Frederick W., III [mailto:raddison@munsch.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 09, 2013 10:06 AM

To: Pallesen, Reginald

Subject: Rio Tinto Mine, Road, Mill Project

Morning Reg, hope you are well-

Last Thursday counsel for Huron Mountain Club attended a public meeting on a segment of the road project being constructed by the Marquette County Road Commission (MCRC) on behalf of Rio Tinto. Representatives of MDEQ conducted the meeting; Although responses to comment were not taken during the meeting, MDEQ representatives responded to questions on an informal basis after the meeting.

During that informal session, Mr. Fulcher of MDEQ told the local counsel for HMC the US EPA had approved the construction of the road project in segments, and only cared about the "total impacts". As I believe you know, we have been objecting to the project, inter alia, because of segmentation and the failure to evaluate all impacts.

In that context, we have also objected on the basis that MCRC has obtained segmented major/minor

permits-instead of a comprehensive major permit, and that an EIS/EIA should be performed for the Mine, the Road, and the Mill-because they are all connected actions.

Lastly, we continue to object to the project because construction is underway, and all of the permits have not yet been obtained.

As you probably know in Sierra v. Marsh, 872 F.2d 497, 504 (1st Cir. 1989); Justice Breyer, then on the First Circuit described this type of illegal permitting process as a "bureaucratic steam roller".

On a factual basis, MCRC and MDEQ constantly change the parameters as well as the "total impacts" for the project.

By way of example, the permit application disclosed 3.01 acres of impacts to wetlands; the Public Notice for the hearing stated 3.7 acres impacted.

At the meeting last Thursday the impacted acreage call had increased to 4.4 acres-an increase of over 25% from the original estimate.

Steam impacts are vaguely identified, if at all; mitigation for stream impacts is unknown.

And at least a portion of the wetlands impacted are forested-as were the wetlands associated with the vetoed CR 595 alternative.

What is the mitigation plan for the additional impacts? We don't know because the impacts continue to increase and the permitting process is being manipulated- some would say subverted.

My questions are:

Has US EPA told MDEQ the road project can proceed in a segmented fashion, without all of the proper permits and analyses being performed prior to construction?

What review of the project will be left for US EPA if the project is completed piecemeal, with changing impacts and prior to the issuance of the necessary permits.

Or, put another way, should I just include US EPA as another wayward, state or federal agency defendant in the next law suit we file concerning this essentially unregulated Mine, Road, Mill project.?

Thank you for your consideration of these issues. I would appreciate a response.

Rick Addison Counsel for HMC

Frederick W. Addison III

[cid:458dda0b98f04e109e8e5bdfffc4d68f]

DALLAS | HOUSTON | AUSTIN

3800 Lincoln Plaza

500 N. Akard Street | Dallas, Texas 75201-6659 Direct 214.855.7570 | Fax 214.978.5336 raddison@munsch.com<mailto:raddison@munsch.com> | munsch.com<http://www.munsch.com/>

[cid:75c08842439344a686fae29abc83471a]https://www.linkedin.com/company/31094 [cid:a2346571a1ef42c2b6cd2fa878e8dab4] https://www.facebook.com/munschhardt [cid:19ad3e6f706a475eb4eec2e7e533103d] https://twitter.com/Munsch_Hardt

Notice: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. Nothing contained in this message or in any attachment shall constitute a contract or electronic signature under

the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, any version of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act or any other statute governing electronic transactions.

IRS Circular 230 Notice: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (a) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (b) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.